Contents Volume 33:1 February 2010

Coall, D. A. & Hertwig, R. Grandparental investment: Past, present, and future 1

Open Peer Commentary

Broadfield, D. C. Grandparental investment and the epiphenomenon of menopause in recent human history 19

Cox, D. Integrating evolutionary and social science approaches to the family 20

Euler, H. A. Is contemporary grandparental care an evolutionary mismatch? 21

Fantino, E. & Stolarz-Fantino, S. Grandparental altruism: Expanding the sense of cause and effect 22

Fawcett, T. W., van den Berg, P., Weissing, F. J., Park, J. H. & Buunk, A. P. Intergenerational conflict over grandparental investment 23

Friedman, D. & Hechter, M. Motivating grandparental investment 24

Gurven, M. & Schniter, E. An evolutionary perspective can help unify disparate accounts of grandparental investment 25

Hames, R. Grandparental transfers and kin selection 26

Hoppmann, C. A. & Klumb, P. L. Grandparental investment facilitates harmonization of work and family in employed parents: A lifespan psychological perspective 27

Huber, B. R. Continuity between pre- and post-demographic transition populations with respect to grandparental investment 28

Kaptijn, R. & Thomese, F. Fitness effects of grandparental investments in contemporary low-risk societies 29

Kramer, K. L. Intergenerational transfers and the cost of allomothering in traditional societies 30

Lee, R. D. Population aging and the economic role of the elderly: Bonanza or burden? 31

Michalski, R. L. Measures of grandparental investment as a limiting factor in theoretical and empirical advancement 32

Pashos, A. The evolutionary versus socio-economic view on grandparenthood: What are the grandparents' underlying motivations? 33

Sear, R. & Dickins, T. E. The generation game is the cooperation game: The role of grandparents in the timing of reproduction 34

Strassman, B. I. & Kurapati, N. T. Are humans cooperative breeders?: Most studies of natural fertility populations do not support the grandmother hypothesis 35

Voracek, M., Tran, U. S. & Fisher, M. L. Evolutionary psychology's notion of differential grandparental investment and the Dodo Bird Phenomenon: Not everyone can be right 39

Authors' Response

Coall, D. A. & Hertwig, R. Toward an integrative framework of grandparental investment 40

Contents Volume 33:2/3 April/June 2010

Henrich, J., Heine, S. J. & Norenzayan, A.
The weirdest people in the world? 61

Open Peer Commentary

Astuti, R. & Bloch, M. Why a theory of human nature cannot be based on the distinction between universality and variability: Lessons from anthropology 83

Baumard, N. & Sperber, D. Weird people, yes, but also weird experiments 84

Bennis, W. M. & Medin, D. L. Weirdness is in the eye of the beholder 85

Boesch, C. Away from ethnocentrism and anthropocentrism: Towards a scientific understanding of "what makes us human" 86

Ceci, S. J., Kahan, D. M. & Braman, D. The WEIRD are even weirder than you think: Diversifying contexts is as important as diversifying samples 87

Chiao, J. Y. & Cheon, B. K. The weirdest brains in the world 88

Danks, D. & Rose, D. Diversity in representations; uniformity in learning 90

Fernald, A. Getting beyond the "convenience sample" in research on early cognitive development 91

Fessler, D. M. T. Cultural congruence between investigators and participants masks the unknown unknowns: Shame research as an example 92

Gächter, S. (Dis)advantages of student subjects: What is your research question? 92

Gaertner, L., Sedikides, C., Cai, H. & Brown, J. D. It's not WEIRD, it's WRONG: When Researchers Overlook uNderlying Genotypes, they will not detect universal processes 93

Gosling, S. D., Sandy, C. J., John, O. P. & Potter, J. Wired but not WEIRD: The promise of the Internet in reaching more diverse samples 94

Karasik, L. B., Adolph, K. E., Tamis-LeMonda, C. S. & Bornstein, M. H. WEIRD walking: Cross-cultural research on motor development 95

Kesebir, S., Oishi, S. & Spellman, B. A. The socio-ecological approach turns variance among populations from a liability to an asset 96

Khemlani, S. S., Lee, N. Y. L. & Bucciarelli, M. Determinants of cognitive variability 97

Konečni, V. J. Responsible behavioral science generalizations and applications require much more than non-WEIRD samples 98

Lancy, D. F. When nurture becomes nature: Ethnocentrism in studies of human development 99

Leavens, D. A., Bard, K. A. & Hopkins, W. D. BIZARRE chimpanzees do not represent "the chimpanzee" 100

Machery, E. Explaining why experimental behavior varies across cultures: A missing step in "The weirdest people in the world?" 101

Majid, A. & Levinson, S. C. WEIRD languages have misled us, too 103

Maryanski, A. WEIRD societies may be more compatible with human nature 103

Meadon, M. & Spurrett, D. It's not just the subjects – there are too many WEIRD researchers 104

Panchanathan, K., Frankenhuis, W. E. & Barrett, H. C. Development: Evolutionary ecology's midwife 105

Rai, T. S. & Fiske, A. ODD (observation- and description-deprived) psychological research 106

Rochat, P. What is really wrong with a priori claims of universality? Sampling, validity, process level, and the irresistible drive to reduce 107

Rozin, P. The weirdest people in the world are a harbinger of the future of the world 108

Shweder, R. A. Donald Campbell's doubt: Cultural difference or failure of communication? 109

Stich, S. Philosophy and WEIRD intuition 110

Authors' Response 111

Henrich, J., Heine, S. J. & Norenzayan, A. Beyond WEIRD: Towards a broad-based behavioral science

Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., van der Maas, H. L. J. & Borsboom, D.
Comorbidity: A network perspective 137

Open Peer Commentary

Belzung, C., Billette de Villemeur, E., Lemoine, M. & Camus, V. Latent variables and the network perspective 150

Bornstein, R. F. The rocky road from Axis I to Axis II: Extending the network model of diagnostic comorbidity to personality pathology 151

Cervone, D. Aligning psychological assessment with psychological science 152
Danks, D., Fancsali, S., Glymour, C. & Scheines, R. Comorbid science? 153
Davis, O. S. P. & Plomin, R. Visualizing genetic similarity at the symptom level: The example of learning disabilities 155
Fleeson, W., Furr, R. M. & Arnold, E. M. An agenda for symptom-based research 157
Haig, B. D. & Vertue, E. M. Extending the network perspective on comorbidity 158
Haslam, N. Symptom networks and psychiatric categories 158
Hood, S. B. & Lovett, B. J. Network models of psychopathology and comorbidity: Philosophical and pragmatic considerations 159
Humphry, S. M. & McGrane, J. A. Is there a contradiction between the network and latent variable perspectives? 160
Hyland, M. E. Network origins of anxiety and depression 161
Johnson, W. & Penke, L. The network perspective will help, but is comorbidity the question? 162
Krueger, R. F., DeYoung, C. G. & Markon, K. E. Toward scientifically useful quantitative models of psychopathology: The importance of a comparative approach 163
Markus, K. A. Questions about networks, measurement, and causation 164
McFarland, D. J. & Malta, L. S. Symptoms as latent variables 165
Molenaar, P. C. M. Latent variable models are network models 166
Ross, D. Some mental disorders are based on networks, others on latent variables 166
Rothenberger, A., Banaschewski, T., Becker, A. & Roessner, V. Comorbidity: The case of developmental psychopathology 167
Rubinsten, O. & Henik, A. Comorbidity: Cognition and biology count! 168
Staniloiu, A. & Markowitsch, H. J. Looking at comorbidity through the glasses of neuroscientific memory research: A brain-network perspective 170
Tzur-Bitan, D., Meiran, N. & Shahar, G. The importance of modeling comorbidity using an intra-individual, time-series approach 172
van der Sluis, S., Kan, K.-J. & Dolan, C. V. Consequences of a network view for genetic association studies 173
van Geert, P. L. C. & Steenbeek, H. W. Networks as complex dynamic systems: Applications to clinical and developmental psychology and psychopathology 174
Wass, S. & Karmiloff-Smith, A. The missing developmental dimension in the network perspective 175
Yordanova, J., Kolev, V., Kirov, R. & Rothenberger, A. Comorbidity in the context of neural network properties 176
Zachar, P. The abandonment of latent variables: Philosophical considerations 177

Authors' Response
Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., van der Maas, H. L. J. & Borsboom, D. Complex realities require complex theories: Refining and extending the network approach to mental disorders 178

Machery, E. Précis of *Doing without Concepts* 195

Open Peer Commentary
Blanchard, T. Default knowledge, time pressure, and the theory-theory of concepts 206
Couchman, J. J., Boomer, J., Coutinho, M. V. C. & Smith, J. D. Carving nature at its joints using a knife called concepts 207
Danks, D. Not different kinds, just special cases 208
Dove, G. An additional heterogeneity hypothesis 209
Edwards, K. Unity amidst heterogeneity in theories of concepts 210
Gonnerman, C. & Weinberg, J. M. Two uneliminated uses for "concepts": Hybrids and guides for inquiry 211
Hampton, J. A. Concept talk cannot be avoided 212
Harnard, S. Eliminating the "concept" concept 213
Hayes, B. K. & Kearney, L. Defending the concept of "concepts" 214
Jacobson, A. J. The faux, fake, forged, false, fabricated, and phony: Problems for the independence of similarity-based theories of concepts 215
Keil, F. Hybrid vigor and conceptual structure 215
Khemlani, S. S. & Goodwin, G. The function and representation of concepts 216
Lalumera, E. Concepts are a functional kind 217
Lombrozo, T. From conceptual representations to explanatory relations 218
Margolis, E. & Laurence, S. Concepts and theoretical unification 219
Markman, A. B. Where are nature's joints? Finding the mechanisms underlying categorization 220
Rey, G. Concepts versus conceptions (again) 221
Samuels, R. & Ferreira, M. Why *don't* concepts constitute a natural kind? 222
Scarantino, A. Evidence of coordination as a cure for concept eliminativism 223
Schneider, S. Conceptual atomism rethought 224
Strohminger, N. & Moore, B. W. Banishing the thought 225
Virtel, J. & Piccinini, G. Are prototypes and exemplars used in distinct cognitive processes? 226

Vlach, H. A., Krogh, L., Thom, E. E. & Sandhofer, C. M. Doing with development: Moving toward a complete theory of concepts 227
Weiskopf, D. A. The theoretical indispensability of concepts 228
Yermolayeva, Y. & Rakison, D. H. Developing without concepts 229
Zaki, S. & Cruz, J. Parsimony and the triple-system model of concepts 230

Author's Response
Machery, E. The heterogeneity of knowledge representation and the elimination of *concept* 231

Contents Volume 33:4 August 2010

Anderson, M. L. Neural reuse: A fundamental organizational principle of the brain 245

Open Peer Commentary

Aisenberg, D. & Henik, A. Reuse or re-function? 266
Bargh, J. A., Williams, L. E., Huang, J. Y., Song, H. & Ackerman, J. M. From the physical to the psychological: Mundane experiences influence social judgment and interpersonal behavior 267
Bergeron, V. Neural reuse and cognitive homology 268
Bridgeman, B. Neural reuse implies distributed coding 269
Brincker, M. Sensorimotor grounding and reused cognitive domains 270
Dekker, T. M. & Karmiloff-Smith, A. The importance of ontogenetic change in typical and atypical development 271
Donnarumma, F., Prevete, R. & Trautteur, G. How and over what timescales does neural reuse actually occur? 272
Fishbein, W., Lau, H., DeJesús, R. & Alger, S. E. Sleep, neural reuse, and memory consolidation processes 273
Foglia, L. & Grush, R. Reuse (neural, bodily, and environmental) as a fundamental organizational principle of human cognition 274
Gomila, A. & Calvo, P. Understanding brain circuits and their dynamics 274
Immordino-Yang, M. H., Chiao, J. Y. & Fiske, A. P. Neural reuse in the social and emotional brain 275
Iriki, A. Neural reuse: A polysemous and redundant biological system subserving niche-construction 276
Jungé, J. A. & Dennett, D. C. Multi-use and constraints from original use 277
Katz, P. S. Comparative studies provide evidence for neural reuse 278
Kiverstein, J. No bootstrapping without semantic inheritance 279
Klein, C. Redeployed functions versus spreading activation: A potential confound 280
Lia, B. Implications of neural reuse for brain injury therapy: Historical note on the work of Kurt Goldstein 281
Lindblom, B. Reuse in the brain and elsewhere 282
Michaux, N., Pesenti, M., Badets, A., Di Luca, S. & Andres, M. Let us redeploy attention to sensorimotor experience 283
Moore, D. S. & Moore, C. Neural reuse as a source of developmental homology 284
Niven, J. E. & Chittka, L. Reuse of identified neurons in multiple neural circuits 285
Petrov, A. A., Jilk, D. J. & O'Reilly, R. C. The Leabra architecture: Specialization without modularity 286
Rabaglia, C. D. & Marcus, G. F. Neural reuse and human individual differences 287
Reimers, M. Reuse of molecules and of neural circuits 288
Ritchie, J. B. & Carruthers, P. Massive modularity is consistent with most forms of neural reuse 289
Rozin, P. More than modularity and metaphor: The power of preadaptation and access 290
Speed, A., Verzi, S. J., Wagner, J. S. & Warrender, C. Optical holography as an analogue for a neural reuse mechanism 291
Toskos Dils, A. & Flusberg, S. J. Massive redeployment or distributed modularity? 292
Vilarroya, O. Belling the cat: Why reuse theory is not enough 293

Author's Reponse

Anderson, M. L. Cortex and context: Response to commentaries on neural reuse 294

Knobe, J. Person as scientist, person as moralist 315

Open Peer Commentary

Alexander, J., Mallon, R. & Weinberg, J. M. Competence: What's in? What's out? Who knows? 329
Alicke, M. & Rose, D. Culpable control or moral concepts? 330
Baldo, M. V. C. & Barberousse, A. Person as moralist and scientist 331
Bartsch, K. & Young, T. N. Reasoning asymmetries do not invalidate theory-theory 331
Brogaard, B. "Stupid people deserve what they get": The effects of personality assessment on judgments of intentional action 332
Carpendale, J. I. M., Hammond, S. I. & Lewis, C. The social origin and moral nature of human thinking 334
Cova, F., Dupoux, E. & Jacob, P. Moral evaluation shapes linguistic reports of others' psychological states, not theory-of-mind judgments 334

Egré, P. Qualitative judgments, quantitative judgments, and norm-sensitivity 335
Gintis, H. Modalities of word usage in intentionality and causality 336
Girotto, V., Surian, L. & Siegal, M. Morals, beliefs, and counterfactuals 337
Guglielmo, S. Questioning the influence of moral judgment 338
Hindriks, F. Person as lawyer: How having a guilty mind explains attributions of intentional agency 339
Humphrey, N. Person as moral scientist 340
Kang, M. J. & Glassman, M. The cultural capital of the moralist and the scientist 340
Kreps, T. A. & Monin, B. Are mental states assessed relative to what most people "should" or "would" think? Prescriptive and descriptive components of expected attitudes 341
Kushnir, T. & Chernyak, N. Understanding the adult moralist requires first understanding the child scientist 343
Levy, N. Scientists and the folk have the same concepts 344
Lombrozo, T. & Uttich, K. Putting normativity in its proper place 344
Mandelbaum, E. & Ripley, D. Expectations and morality: A dilemma 346
Menzies, P. Norms, causes, and alternative possibilities 346
Nanay, B. Neither moralists, nor scientists: We are counterfactually reasoning animals 347
Scanlon, T. M. Ambiguity of "intention" 348
Sinnott-Armstrong, W. Alternatives and defaults: Knobe's two explanations of how moral judgments influence intuitions about intentionality and causation 349
Spurrett, D. & Martin, J. "Very like a whale": Analogies about the mind need salient similarity to convey information 350
Stich, S. & Wysocki, T. Are we really moralizing creatures through and through? 351
Terroni, L. & Fraguas, R. Depression affecting moral judgment 352
Ulatowski, J. & Johnson, J. Fixing the default position in Knobe's competence model 352

Author's Response

Knobe, J. The person as moralist account and its alternatives 353

Contents Volume 33:5 October 2010

Jones, D. Human kinship, from conceptual structure to grammar 367

Open Peer Commentary

Behme, C. Does kinship terminology provide evidence for or against universal grammar? 381
Bennardo, G. Space, kinship, and mind 382
Biró, T. Will Optimality Theory colonize all of higher cognition? 383
Bloch, M. Kinship terms are not kinship 384
El Guindi, F. The cognitive path through kinship 384
Gerkey, D. & Cronk, L. Why do we need to coordinate when classifying kin? 385
Hogeweg, L., Legendre, G. & Smolensky, P. Kinship terminology: polysemy or categorization? 386
Hudson, R. Conceptual structure is constrained functionally, not formally 387
Jordan, F. M. & Dunn, M. Kin term diversity is the result of multilevel, historical processes 388
Kay, P. Some facts of Seneca kinship semantics 388
Knight, C. Language and kinship: We need some Darwinian theory here 389
Kronenfeld, D. B. Conceptual implications of kinship terminological systems: Special problems and multiple analytic approaches 390
Leaf, M. J. Pragmatic and positivistic analyses of kinship terminology 390
Levinson, S. C. Advancing our grasp of constrained variation in a crucial cognitive domain 391
Liu, C., Ge, Y., Mai, X. & Luo, Y.-J. Exploring the conceptual and semantic structure of human kinship: An experimental investigation of Chinese kin terms 392
Lyon, S. M. Genealogy, kinship and knowledge: A cautionary note about causation 394
McConvell, P. Getting the constraints right 394
Miers, P. Typological variation of kinship terminologies is a function of strict ranking of constraints on nested binary classification trees 395
Musgrave, S. & Dowe, D. L. Kinship, optimality and typology 397
Nevins, A. The applicability of theories of phonological contrast to kinship systems 398
Read, D. W. The algebraic logic of kinship terminology structures 399
Salazar, C. Genealogy (and the relationship between opposite-sex/same-sex sibling pairs) is what kinship is all about 401
Seyfarth, R. M. & Cheney, D. L. The shared evolutionary history of kinship classifications and language 402
Wierzbicka, A. Lexical universals of kinship and social cognition 403

Author's Response

Jones, D. Thinking about kinship and thinking 404

Contents Volume 33:6 December 2010

Niedenthal, P. M., Mermillod, M., Maringer, M. & Hess, U.
The Simulation of Smiles (SIMS) model: Embodied simulation and the meaning of facial expression 417

Open Peer Commentary

Alibali, M. W. & Hostetter, A. B. Mimicry and simulation in gesture comprehension 433
Bartlett, M. S. Emotion simulation and expression understanding: A case for time 434
Basso, F. & Oullier, O. "Smile down the phone": Extending the effects of smiles to vocal social interactions 435
Bouissac, P. Expressive smiles or leucosignals? 436
Briñol, P., DeMarree, K. G. & Smith, R. The role of embodied change in perceiving and processing facial expressions of others 437
Caldara, R. Beyond smiles: The impact of culture and race in embodying and decoding facial expressions 438
Centorrino, S., Djemai, E., Hopfensitz, A., Milinski, M. & Seabright, P. Honest smiles as a costly signal in social exchange 439
Chakrabarti, B. Eyes, amygdala, and other models of face processing: Questions for the SIMS model 440
Chang, B. & Vermeulen, N. Re-thinking the causes, processes, and consequences of simulation 441
Chatelle, C., Laureys, S., Majerus, S. & Schnakers, C. Eye gaze and conscious processing in severely brain-injured patients 442
Conty, L., Grèzes, J. & Sander, D. How does perceiving eye direction modulate emotion recognition? 443
Covas-Smith, C. M., Fine, J., Glenberg, A. M., Keylor, E., Li, Y. J., Marsh, E., Osborne, E. A., Soliman, T. & Yee, C. Cultural variations on the SIMS model 444
Evers, K., Noens, I., Steyaert, J. & Wagemans, J. Embodied simulation and the meaning of facial expression in autism 445
Fernández-Dols, J.-M. & Pilar Carrera, P. *Le bon dieu est dans le detail*: Is smiling the recognition of happiness? 446
Hamon-Hill, C. & Barresi, J. Does motor mimicry contribute to emotion recognition? 447
Huang, L. & Galinsky, A. D. No mirrors for the powerful: Why dominant smiles are not processed using embodied simulation 448
Kiverstein, J. & Zamuner, E. Could embodied simulation be a by-product of emotion perception? 449
Lakens, D. & Ruys, K. I. The dynamic interaction of conceptual and embodied knowledge 449
Liu, C., Ge, Y., Luo, W.-B. & Luo, Y.-J. Show your teeth or not: The role of the mouth and eyes in smiles and its cross-cultural variations 450
Lobmaier, J. S. & Fischer, M. H. Motivational aspects of recognizing a smile 452
Mann, T. A. & Choe, Y. Grounding the meaning of non-prototypical smiles on motor behavior 453
Mehu, M. & N'Diaye, K. The proximate mechanisms and ultimate functions of smiles 454
Morsella, E., Montemayor, C., Hubbard, J. & Zarolia, P. Conceptual knowledge: Grounded in sensorimotor states, or a disembodied deus ex machina? 455
Ohala, J. J. What's behind the smile? 456
Sauter, D. A. & Levinson, S. C. What's embodied in a smile? 457
Senju, A. & Johnson, M. H. Is eye contact the key to the social brain? 458
Simpson, E. & Fragaszy, D. Can we really leave gender out of it? Individual differences and the Simulation of Smiles model 459
Swain, J. E. & Ho, S. S. Baby smile response circuits of the parental brain 460
Vigil, J. M. & Coulombe, P. Embodied simulation and the search for meaning are not necessary for facial expression processing 461
Winkielman, P. Embodied and disembodied processing of emotional expressions: Insights from Autism Spectrum Disorders 463

Authors' Response

Niedenthal, P. M., Mermillod, M., Maringer, M. & Hess, U. The future of SIMS: Who embodies which smile and when? 464